

Abstract

General circulation models (GCMs) are considered to provide the greatest potential for scenario construction for enhanced greenhouse effect impact studies, but the current generation typically have a spatial resolution of about 300 kilometres. Thus there is a need to downscale from this relatively coarse scale to the finer scale required for impact assessments. In this thesis, the potential of the circulation-based approach to downscaling (one of the most promising empirical approaches) is explored in depth.

This approach is developed and tested in a highly seasonal Mediterranean regime. Three study areas, providing a transect across the Mediterranean Basin, are used: the Guadalentin in southeast Spain, the Agri in central Italy and the Greek island of Lesbos.

An automated method based on Lamb Weather Types is used to classify the circulation on a daily basis using gridded sea level pressure data from the observations and from two versions of the UK Hadley Centre GCM (UKTR and HadCM2SUL). It is shown that the circulation-typing scheme is discriminating in terms of the rainfall characteristics underlying each type. This, together with the finding that each type has a characteristic underlying synoptic pattern, indicates that the scheme provides a suitable basis for downscaling. A stochastic weather generator in which rainfall is dependent on the circulation type of each day and on the wet/dry status of the previous day is developed and used to construct daily rainfall scenarios for 1970-1979, 2030-2039 and 2090-2099.

The final rainfall scenarios are considered more plausible than the raw GCM changes. The method is, however, affected by a number of problems which are common to all empirical, and many numerical model-based, downscaling approaches (i.e. stationarity, overdispersion, data availability and the reliability of GCMs). A number of ways in which the method could be further refined and developed to reduce these problems are proposed.

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to thank Jean Palutikof, who has been both an excellent supervisor throughout the period of my PhD study and a much appreciated role model during the many years I have spent working in the Climatic Research Unit. Thanks also to Mick Kelly and Trevor Davies for their help in shaping the direction of the thesis work.

The thesis was carried out on a part-time basis. However, much of the analytical work was performed as part of contractual work undertaken on the MEDALUS II (EV5V-CT92-0164), MEDALUS III (ENV4-CT95-0121) and ACCORD (ENV4-CT97-0530) projects funded by the European Commission.

The daily rainfall data for Spanish and Italian stations were provided to the Climatic Research Unit as part of the MEDALUS II and III projects. The UKTR and HadCM2SUL model data were supplied by the Climate Impacts LINK project (funded by the UK Department of Transport, Environment and the Regions) on behalf of the Hadley Centre and UK Meteorological Office. The gridded sea level pressure data were extracted from the National Meteorological Center CD-ROM data set. Mick Kelly provided a Fortran program for the construction of pressure composites which I modified for the purposes of the thesis. The composites were plotted using the Doodler software package written by Mick Kelly. Tom Holt and Jean Palutikof wrote the Fortran program used to estimate return period events in Chapter 6.

I would also like to thank the many colleagues and friends in the Climatic Research Unit who have supported me over the years. Finally, special thanks to my family and to all my friends in Norwich and elsewhere who have been a constant source of support and encouragement.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 THE NEED FOR DOWNSCALING	2
1.2 APPROACHES TO DOWNSCALING	3
1.2.1 Available methods	3
1.2.2 The circulation-based approach to downscaling	6
1.2.3 Previous applications of the circulation-based approach to downscaling	6
1.3 THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CIRCULATION-TYPE APPROACH TO DOWNSCALING	9
1.4 THE THESIS STRUCTURE	11
2. THE AUTOMATED CIRCULATION-TYPING SCHEME	19
2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE UNDERLYING DATA SETS	20
2.1.1 Observed pressure data	20
2.1.2 UKTR GCM pressure data	21
2.2 THE TYPING-SCHEME METHODOLOGY	22
2.2.1 Introduction	22
2.2.2 Calculation of the flow and vorticity parameters	22
2.2.3 The fourteen basic circulation types	24
2.2.4 The eight circulation-type groups	25
2.2.5 Frequencies of the observed circulation types	25
2.3 VALIDATION OF THE TYPING SCHEME FOR SOUTHEAST SPAIN	26
2.3.1 Construction of SLP composites from the observations	26
2.3.2 Interpretation of the SLP composites	26
2.4 VALIDATION OF UKTR GCM CIRCULATION TYPES	28
2.4.1 Sea-level pressure patterns	28
2.4.2 Simulated circulation-type frequencies	29
2.4.3 Simulated relationships between the circulation types and synoptic features	30
2.5 FUTURE CHANGES IN CIRCULATION-TYPE FREQUENCY	31
2.5.1 Mean sea level pressure changes	31
2.5.2 Seasonal changes in circulation-type frequency	32
2.6 DISCUSSION	32
2.7 SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS	33
3. IDENTIFICATION AND APPLICATION OF CIRCULATION-TYPE / RAINFALL RELATIONSHIPS	59
3.1 THE GUADALENTIN RAINFALL REGIME	60
3.1.1 The Guadalentin Basin	60
3.1.2 Annual and seasonal rainfall	60
3.1.3 Standardised anomaly indices	61
3.2 RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE CIRCULATION TYPES AND DAILY RAINFALL	63
3.2.1 Introduction	63
3.2.2 Rainfall contributions	64
3.2.3 Rainfall probabilities/intensities	65
3.3 EVALUATION OF CIRCULATION TYPE/RAINFALL RELATIONSHIPS	66
3.4 DEVELOPMENT OF A CONDITIONAL WEATHER GENERATOR	69
3.4.1 Introduction	69
3.4.2 The conditional weather generator	70
3.5 SIMULATIONS PERFORMED WITH THE CONDITIONAL WEATHER GENERATOR	71
3.5.1 Description of the three simulation sets	71
3.5.2 Evaluation of the Gen. simulations	73
3.5.3 Evaluation of the Cont. simulations	74
3.5.4 The climate-change scenarios	75
3.6 SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS	76

4.	EVALUATION OF THE TYPING SCHEME AND THE WEATHER GENERATOR	93
4.1	INTRODUCTION	94
4.2	UNDERLYING PHYSICAL PROCESSES	94
4.3	GCM PERFORMANCE	97
4.4	WEATHER GENERATOR PERFORMANCE	98
4.5	PLAUSIBILITY OF THE SCENARIOS	100
4.6	THE ASSUMPTION OF STATIONARITY	103
4.7	CONCLUSIONS	104
5.	DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW TYPING SCHEME	109
5.1	THE HADCM2 INTEGRATIONS	110
5.2	THE THREE STUDY REGIONS	111
5.2.1	<i>A Mediterranean transect</i>	111
5.2.2	<i>The rainfall regime of the Agri basin and comparison with the Guadalentin</i>	112
5.2.3	<i>The effects of shifting the pressure grid</i>	114
5.3	DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW CLASSIFICATION SCHEME BASED ON HADCM2SUL VALIDATION STUDIES	118
5.3.1	<i>Sea level pressure</i>	118
5.3.2	<i>Strength of flow and vorticity</i>	119
5.3.3	<i>Identification of new threshold values</i>	121
5.3.4	<i>The new classification scheme</i>	122
5.4	EVALUATION OF THE NEW CLASSIFICATION SCHEME	126
5.4.1	<i>The Guadalentin</i>	126
5.4.2	<i>The Agri</i>	130
5.4.3	<i>Discussion</i>	134
5.5	CIRCULATION/RAINFALL RELATIONSHIPS IN THE GUADALENTIN AND AGRÍ	135
5.5.1	<i>The Guadalentin</i>	135
5.5.2	<i>The Agri</i>	141
5.5.3	<i>Discussion</i>	150
5.6	VALIDATION OF THE HADCM2SUL CIRCULATION TYPES	151
5.7	FUTURE CHANGES IN HADCM2SUL CIRCULATION	157
5.7.1	<i>Changes in sea level pressure</i>	157
5.7.2	<i>Changes in circulation type frequency</i>	158
5.8	SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS	161
6.	DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW CONDITIONAL WEATHER GENERATOR	273
6.1	INTRODUCTION	274
6.1.1	<i>Characteristics of the new conditional weather generator</i>	274
6.1.2	<i>The new conditional weather generator simulations and terminology</i>	275
6.2	PARAMETER ESTIMATION	277
6.2.1	<i>Introduction</i>	277
6.2.2	<i>Rainfall occurrence parameters</i>	277
6.2.3	<i>Rainfall amount parameters</i>	279
6.3	CROSS-VALIDATION RUNS	283
6.3.1	<i>The CVOBS_{Alc} and CVOBS_{Mis} simulation sets</i>	284
6.3.2	<i>The CVHAD_{Alc} and CVHAD_{Mis} simulation sets</i>	292
6.3.3	<i>Comparison of the performance of the original and new conditional weather generators</i>	297
6.3.4	<i>Variability of the parameters in the cross-validation simulation sets</i>	298
6.4	SCENARIO RUNS	300
6.4.1	<i>The OBS1970 and HAD1970 simulation sets</i>	301
6.4.2	<i>The HAD1970, HAD2030 and HAD2090 simulation sets</i>	304

6.5	EVALUATION OF THE SCENARIOS	306
6.5.1	<i>Graphical presentation of the scenarios</i>	306
6.5.2	<i>Representation of uncertainty</i>	306
6.5.3	<i>Scenario selection</i>	307
6.5.4	<i>Changes in persistence and extreme events</i>	308
6.5.5	<i>Links between changes in rainfall and circulation-type frequency</i>	310
6.5.6	<i>Comparison of the UKTR and HadCM2SUL rain day scenarios</i>	312
6.5.7	<i>Comparison of the downscaled scenarios and raw model changes</i>	314
6.6	SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS	315
7.	CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE METHODOLOGY	389
7.1	INTRODUCTION	390
7.2	GEOGRAPHICAL CONSIDERATIONS: COMPARISON OF THE GUADALENTIN AND AGRI STUDY AREAS	390
7.3	METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS: PROBLEMS AND POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS	395
7.3.1	<i>Introduction</i>	395
7.3.2	<i>The problem of sample size and data availability</i>	396
7.3.3	<i>The stationarity problem</i>	400
7.3.4	<i>The convective/large-scale rainfall problem</i>	403
7.3.5	<i>The overdispersion problem</i>	405
7.3.6	<i>The problem of GCM reliability</i>	407
7.3.7	<i>The plausibility of the scenarios</i>	408
7.4	FURTHER APPLICATIONS OF THE METHODOLOGY: THE CONSTRUCTION OF MULTISITE/MULTIVARIATE SCENARIOS	411
7.4.1	<i>Introduction</i>	411
7.4.2	<i>Methods for multisite scenario construction</i>	411
7.4.3	<i>Sampling from observed data</i>	412
7.4.4	<i>Spatially-correlated random numbers</i>	414
7.4.5	<i>Methods for multivariate scenario construction</i>	416
7.4.6	<i>Assessment of the suitability of the baseline stations</i>	417
7.5	CONCLUDING REMARKS	418
	REFERENCES	457

List of Equations

Equation 2.1: Equation for the calculation of westerly flow (w)	23
Equation 2.2: Equation for the calculation of southerly flow (s)	23
Equation 2.3: Equation for the calculation of resultant flow (F)	23
Equation 2.4: Equation for the calculation of the direction of flow (dir)	23
Equation 2.5: Equation for the calculation of westerly shear vorticity (zw)	23
Equation 2.6: Equation for the calculation of southerly shear vorticity (zs)	23
Equation 2.7: Equation for the calculation of total shear vorticity (Z)	23
Equation 3.1: Formula for the calculation of standardised anomaly indices (SAIs)	61
Equation 6.1: The PDF ($f(x)$) of the gamma distribution	280
Equation 6.2: The values of the standard mathematical gamma function ($\Gamma(\alpha)$)	280
Equation 6.3: Moments estimator for the shape parameter (α) of the gamma distribution	280
Equation 6.4: Moments estimator for the scale parameter (β) of the gamma distribution	280
Equation 6.5: Cumulative distribution function ($F(x)$) of the GEV distribution	291

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Studies in which circulation/surface climate relationships are modelled as a precursor to downscaling GCM output.	14
Table 1.2: Studies in which circulation/surface climate relationships are used to downscale GCM output.	16
Table 2.1: The fourteen basic circulation types.	34
Table 2.2: Mean annual (calendar year) and seasonal frequencies (days) of the fourteen basic circulation types calculated from the observed data, 1956-1989.	34
Table 2.3: The eight circulation-type groups.	34
Table 2.4: Mean annual (calendar year) and seasonal frequencies (days) of the eight circulation-type groups calculated from the observed data, 1956-1989.	35
Table 2.5: Actual differences (simulated minus observed (days)) and standardized differences (difference / observed standard deviation (σ units)) between observed and simulated circulation-type frequencies.	35
Table 2.6: Mean seasonal changes (perturbed run minus control run) in the frequency (number of days) of the eight circulation-type groups.	35
Table 3.1: Details of the six Guadalentin stations.	77
Table 3.2: Significant correlations (at the 5% level) identified between rainfall amount (prec.) and number of rain days (NRD), and circulation-type frequency time series.	77
Table 3.3: High-rainfall circulation types with a higher than average proportion of wet days at every station (+) and a higher than average amount of rain per rain day at every station (*). Low-rainfall circulation types with a lower than average proportion of wet days at every station (-) and a lower than average amount of rain per rain day at every station (x).	78
Table 3.4: The circulation-type transition matrix ($PROBct_{1-8}$) for Alcantarilla and the probability of rainfall ($PROBPRECct$) calculated from the observations for each season.	79
Table 3.5: Summary of CWG circulation-type results for Alcantarilla for each season.	80
Table 3.6: Summary of CWG rain-day results for Alcantarilla.	81
Table 3.7: Mean change ($Pert.$ minus $Cont.$) from the 100 simulations, of the mean (mean of $M_{p(NRD)}$ minus mean of $M_{c(NRD)}$) and standard deviation (mean of $\sigma_{p(NRD)}$ minus mean of $\sigma_{c(NRD)}$) of the number of rain days simulated by the CWG for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	81
Table 5.1: Details of the eleven Agri stations.	163
Table 5.2: Mean observed values of F and Z (absolute values) and percentage of days on which F/Z thresholds of <4 and <6 are exceeded, calculated for four grids using observed data for 1956-1989.	163
Table 5.3: Comparison of observed ($_{obs}$) and HadCM2SUL simulated ($_{sim}$) SLP means and standard deviations (σ) calculated over 32 grid points for the three study regions for 1956-1989.	164
Table 5.4: Comparison of observed ($_{obs}$) and HadCM2SUL simulated ($_{sim}$) resultant flow (F) and total shear vorticity (Z) means and standard deviations (σ) for the three study regions for the period 1956-1989.	165
Table 5.5: Mean values of F and Z (absolute values) and percentage of days on which F/Z thresholds of <4 and <6 are exceeded, calculated for three grids using HadCM2SUL output for 1956-1989.	166
Table 5.6: Mean values of observed and simulated resultant flow (F) and total shear vorticity (Z , mean of absolute values), for the period 1956-1989, used as thresholds in the new circulation classification scheme.	166

Table 5.7: Percentage of days classified as UC or UA using <i>F/Z</i> threshold values of 6.0, 4.0 and observed/simulated mean values (see Table 5.6) for the three study regions for the period 1956-1989.	167
Table 5.8: Mean annual and seasonal frequencies (days) of the 14 circulation types for the three study regions calculated from the observed data, 1956-1989.	168
Table 5.9: High-rainfall circulation types with a higher than average proportion of wet days at every station (+) and a higher than average amount of rain per rain day at every station (*) or at five stations (x) in the Guadalentin. Low-rainfall circulation types with a lower than average proportion of wet days at every station (-) and a lower than average amount of rain per rain day at every station (*) or at five stations (x) in the Guadalentin.	169
Table 5.10: High-rainfall circulation types with a higher than average proportion of wet days at Missanello (+) and a higher than average amount of rain per rain day at Missanello (*). Low-rainfall circulation types with a lower than average proportion of wet days at Missanello (-) and a lower than average amount of rain per rain day at Missanello (*).	169
Table 5.11: Differences (simulated minus observed days) between observed and HadCM2SUL mean circulation-type frequencies for the Guadalentin over the period 1956-1989.	170
Table 5.12: Differences (simulated minus observed days) between observed and HadCM2SUL mean circulation-type frequencies for the Agri over the period 1956-1989.	170
Table 5.13: Differences (simulated minus observed days) between observed and HadCM2SUL mean circulation-type frequencies for Lesvos over the period 1956-1989.	171
Table 5.14: Mean annual and seasonal frequencies (%) of the 14 circulation types for the Guadalentin study region calculated from the observations (maximum and minimum decadal values, mean for 1970-1979) and HadCM2SUL output (mean for 1970-1979).	172
Table 5.15: Mean annual and seasonal frequencies (%) of the 14 circulation types for the Agri study region calculated from the observations (maximum and minimum decadal values, mean for 1970-1979) and HadCM2SUL output (mean for 1970-1979).	173
Table 5.16: Mean annual and seasonal frequencies (%) of the 14 circulation types for the Lesvos study region calculated from the observations (maximum and minimum decadal values, mean for 1970-1979) and HadCM2SUL output (mean for 1970-1979).	174
Table 5.17: Differences (simulated minus observed (days)) between observed (1956-1989) and UKTR (Years 66 to 75) and HadCM2SUL (1956-1989) simulated circulation-type frequencies.	175
Table 5.18: Observed (<i>obs</i>) and simulated (<i>sim</i>) (HadCM2SUL) standard deviations of mean seasonal circulation-type frequency over the period 1956-1989 for the Guadalentin.	175
Table 5.19: Observed (<i>obs</i>) and simulated (<i>sim</i>) (HadCM2SUL) standard deviations of mean seasonal circulation-type frequency over the period 1956-1989 for the Agri.	176
Table 5.20: Observed (<i>obs</i>) and simulated (<i>sim</i>) (HadCM2SUL) standard deviations of mean seasonal circulation-type frequency over the period 1956-1989 for Lesvos.	177
Table 5.21: Observed (<i>obs</i>) and simulated (<i>sim</i>) (HadCM2SUL) coefficients of variation, and simulated minus observed difference, of mean seasonal circulation-type frequency over the period 1956-1989 for the Guadalentin.	177
Table 5.22: Observed (<i>obs</i>) and simulated (<i>sim</i>) (HadCM2SUL) coefficients of variation, and simulated minus observed difference, of mean seasonal circulation-type frequency over the period 1956-1989 for the Agri.	178
Table 5.23: Observed (<i>obs</i>) and simulated (<i>sim</i>) (HadCM2SUL) coefficients of variation, and simulated minus observed difference, of mean seasonal circulation-type frequency over the period 1956-1989 for Lesvos.	178

Table 5.24: Mean seasonal changes in the frequency (number of days) of the 14 circulation types simulated by HadCM2SUL for the Guadalentin.	179
Table 5.25: Mean seasonal changes in the frequency (number of days) of the 14 circulation types simulated by HadCM2SUL for the Agri.	180
Table 5.26: Mean seasonal changes in the frequency (number of days) of the 14 circulation types simulated by HadCM2SUL for Lesvos.	181
Table 6.1a: Summary of the new conditional weather generator simulation runs.	317
Table 6.1b: Summary of the diagnostic statistics used to summarise output from the new conditional weather generator.	318
Table 6.2: Total number of circulation-type days (No. CTs.) and rainfall occurrence parameters (P_{ww} , P_{wd} , P_{dw} and P_{dd}) for the new conditional weather generator calculated for Alcantarilla, 1958-1987.	319
Table 6.3: The total number of circulation-type days (No. CTs.) and rainfall occurrence parameters (P_{ww} , P_{wd} , P_{dw} and P_{dd}) for the new conditional weather generator calculated for Missanello, 1956-1988.	320
Table 6.4: The three rainfall amount categories identified for Alcantarilla.	321
Table 6.5: The three rainfall amount categories identified for Missanello.	321
Table 6.6: Shape (α) and scale (β) parameters for the gamma distribution calculated for the three rainfall amount categories at Alcantarilla.	322
Table 6.7: Shape (α) and scale (β) parameters for the gamma distribution calculated for the three rainfall amount categories at Missanello.	323
Table 6.8: Summary of results for the CVOBS _{Alc} (Alcantarilla) simulation set.	324
Table 6.9: Summary of results for the CVOBS _{Mis} (Missanello) simulation set.	326
Table 6.10: Summary of standard deviation (SD) results for the CVOBS _{Alc} (Alcantarilla) simulation set.	328
Table 6.11: Summary of standard deviation (SD) results for the CVOBS _{Mis} (Missanello) simulation set.	330
Table 6.12: Summary of LW (longest wet day spell) and LD (longest dry day spell) results for the CVOBS _{Alc} (Alcantarilla) simulation set.	332
Table 6.13: Summary of LW (longest wet day spell) and LD (longest dry day spell) results for the CVOBS _{Mis} (Missanello) simulation set.	334
Table 6.14: Summary of the extreme value analysis of annual maximum daily rainfall (mm) for the CVOBS _{Alc} (Alcantarilla) simulation set.	336
Table 6.15: Summary of the extreme value analysis of annual maximum daily rainfall (mm) for the CVOBS _{Mis} (Missanello) simulation set.	336
Table 6.16: Summary of results for the CVHAD _{Alc} (Alcantarilla) simulation set.	337
Table 6.17: Summary of results for the CVHAD _{Mis} (Missanello) simulation set.	339
Table 6.18: Summary of standard deviation (SD) results for the CVHAD _{Alc} (Alcantarilla) simulation set.	341
Table 6.19: Summary of standard deviation (SD) results for the CVHAD _{Mis} (Missanello) simulation set.	343
Table 6.20: Summary of LW (longest wet day spell) and LD (longest dry day spell) results for the CVHAD _{Alc} (Alcantarilla) simulation set.	345
Table 6.21: Summary of LW (longest wet day spell) and LD (longest dry day spell) results for the CVHAD _{Mis} (Missanello) simulation set.	347
Table 6.22: Summary of the extreme value analysis of annual maximum daily rainfall (mm) for the CVHAD _{Alc} (Alcantarilla) simulation set.	349
Table 6.23: Summary of the extreme value analysis of annual maximum daily rainfall (mm) for the CVHAD _{Mis} (Missanello) simulation set.	349
Table 6.24: Values of the NCWG parameters in the cross-validation runs for Alcantarilla.	350
Table 6.25: Values of the NCWG parameters in the cross-validation runs for Missanello.	352
Table 6.26: Summary of results for the Alcantarilla scenario runs: number of rain days (NRD _m) and total rainfall (AMT _m , mm).	354
Table 6.27: Summary of results for the Missanello scenario runs: number of rain days (NRD _m) and total rainfall (AMT _m , mm).	355

Table 6.28: Summary of significance testing for number of rain days (NRD _m) and total rainfall (AMT _m) at Alcantarilla.	356
Table 6.29: Summary of significance testing for number of rain days (NRD _m) and total rainfall (AMT _m) at Missanello.	357
Table 6.30: Quantile changes in rain days (NRD _m) and rainfall amount (AMT _m , mm) for Alcantarilla.	358
Table 6.31: Quantile changes in rain days (NRD _m) and rainfall amount (AMT _m , mm) for Missanello.	359
Table 6.32: Summary of LW (longest wet day spell) and LD (longest dry day spell) results for the Alcantarilla scenario runs.	360
Table 6.33: Summary of LW (longest wet day spell) and LD (longest dry day spell) results for the Missanello scenario runs.	361
Table 6.34: Summary of the extreme value analysis of annual maximum daily rainfall (mm) for the HAD1970 _{Alc} , HAD2030 _{Alc} and HAD2090 _{Alc} simulation sets.	362
Table 6.35: Summary of the extreme value analysis of annual maximum daily rainfall (mm) for the HAD1970 _{Mis} , HAD2030 _{Mis} and HAD2090 _{Mis} simulation sets.	362
Table 6.36: The percentage of annual maximum rainfall events which occur in each season at Alcantarilla.	363
Table 6.37: The percentage of annual maximum rainfall events which occur in each season at Missanello.	363
Table 6.38: Summary of HadCM2SUL changes in mean seasonal rainfall for the land and sea grid boxes closest to the Guadalentin.	364
Table 6.39: Summary of HadCM2SUL changes in mean seasonal rainfall for the land and sea grid boxes closest to the Agri.	364
Table 7.1: Summary of results for the Guadalentin and Agri study areas.	421
Table 7.2: Mean values of the strength of flow (F) and total shear vorticity (Z) parameters for the Guadalentin autumn composites for the E and SE-types shown in Figures 7.1 and 7.2.	423
Table 7.3: Observed daily rainfall (mm) at 22 Guadalentin stations for the extreme autumn events shown in Figures 7.4 and 7.5.	424
Table 7.4: Observed daily rainfall (mm) at 11 Agri stations for the extreme winter events shown in Figures 7.7 and 7.8.	425
Table 7.5: Observed daily rainfall (mm) at 22 Guadalentin stations for the extreme summer events shown in Figures 7.11 and 7.12.	426
Table 7.6: Inter-station correlations for rainfall amount for six stations in the Guadalentin calculated using each station as a baseline in turn.	427
Table 7.7: Inter-station correlations for rainfall amount for eleven stations in the Agri calculated using each station as a baseline in turn.	427
Table 7.8: Advantages and disadvantages of the circulation-type approach to downscaling developed in Chapters 5 and 6.	428

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Location of the Guadalentin (G), Agri (A) and Lesvos (L) study regions.	18
Figure 2.1: Mean seasonal SLP calculated from NMC CD-ROM data for the period 1956-1989.	36
Figure 2.2: Grid used in the automated circulation-typing scheme.	37
Figure 2.3: Seasonal scatter plots of F and Z calculated from observed SLP data.	38
Figure 2.4: Observed and simulated monthly frequencies of the eight circulation-type groups.	39
Figure 2.5: Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) for the eight circulation-type groups calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	40
Figure 2.6: Seasonal SLP anomalies (hPa) for the eight circulation-type groups calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	44
Figure 2.7: (a) Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) calculated from UKTR control-run model output; (b) UKTR control-run minus observed differences in seasonal mean SLP (hPa).	48
Figure 2.8: Seasonal SLP anomalies (hPa) for the eight circulation-type groups calculated from UKTR control-run model output.	49
Figure 2.9: UKTR perturbed-run minus control-run differences in seasonal mean SLP (hPa).	53
Figure 2.10: Seasonal SLP anomalies (hPa) for the eight circulation-type groups calculated from UKTR perturbed-run model output.	54
Figure 3.1: Mean annual rainfall (mm) for 22 stations in the Guadalentin Basin, 1958-1987 and the location of the six stations used in this chapter.	82
Figure 3.2: Map of the Guadalentin Basin region.	83
Figure 3.3: Seasonal cycle of mean monthly rainfall (mm) for the wettest (solid line, Alhama de Murcia) and driest (dashed line, Aguilas) of the six Guadalentin Basin stations.	84
Figure 3.4: Annual and seasonal standardised anomaly indices of rainfall for the Guadalentin Basin (based on six stations).	85
Figure 3.5: Annual and seasonal standardised anomaly indices of rainfall amount for the Iberian Peninsula (based on 36 stations).	87
Figure 3.6: The mean annual frequency (shown as the percentage of all days) of the eight circulation-type groups (solid bars); and their contribution to annual rainfall (shown as the percentage of total annual rainfall) for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin (open bars).	88
Figure 3.7: The mean seasonal frequency (shown as the percentage of all days) of the eight circulation-type groups (solid bars); and their contribution to seasonal rainfall (shown as the percentage of total seasonal rainfall) for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin (open bars).	89
Figure 3.8: Standardised ratios $PROP_{ct}/PROP_{tot}$ for each season for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	90
Figure 3.9: Standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for each season for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	91
Figure 3.10: Proportion of wet days at Alcantarilla for each circulation type and each season calculated for overlapping decades from the observations.	92
Figure 4.1: Grid-point rain-day data from UKTR for the land square nearest to the Guadalentin Basin.	106
Figure 4.2: Standardized ratios, (a) $PROP_{ct}/PROP_{tot}$ and (b) $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$, calculated from UKTR control run (solid squares) and perturbed run (open squares) output.	107

Figure 5.1: Global mean temperature time series (°C) for HadCM2CON, HadCM2GHG and HadCM2SUL.	182
Figure 5.2: Grids used for the three study regions in the new typing scheme.	183
Figure 5.3: Mean annual rainfall (mm) and the location of the 11 Agri stations.	184
Figure 5.4: Map of the Agri Basin.	185
Figure 5.5: Scatter plot of altitude (m) versus longitude (°E) for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	186
Figure 5.6: Scatter plot of mean annual rainfall (mm) versus (a) longitude (°E) and (b) altitude (m) for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	187
Figure 5.7: Scatter plot of mean number of rain days versus (a) longitude (°E) and (b) altitude (m) for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	188
Figure 5.8: Scatter plot of altitude (m) versus longitude (°W) for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	189
Figure 5.9: Scatter plot of mean annual rainfall (mm) versus (a) longitude (°W) and (b) altitude (m) for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	190
Figure 5.10: Scatter plot of mean number of rain days versus (a) longitude (°W) and (b) altitude (m) for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	191
Figure 5.11: Scatter plot of mean annual rainfall (mm) versus (a) longitude (°W) and (b) latitude (°N) for 22 stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	192
Figure 5.12: Seasonal cycle of mean monthly rainfall (mm) for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	193
Figure 5.13: Annual and seasonal standardised anomaly indices of rainfall amount for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	194
Figure 5.14: Mean seasonal rainfall totals (mm) for 1951-1960 (open bars) and 1981-1990 for Pisticci (hatched bars) in the Agri Basin.	195
Figure 5.15: Observed monthly frequencies of the 14 circulation types calculated for the HadCM2-GRID _{1.88w} -6 (solid line), HadCM2-GRID _{1.88w} -4 (dot-dash line), HadCM2-GRID _{5.63w} -4 (dashed line) and UKTR-GRID _{3.75w} -6 (dotted line) grids.	196
Figure 5.16: (a) Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) calculated from HadCM2SUL output for 1956-1989. (b) Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) calculated from the observed data for 1956-1989.	197
Figure 5.17: HadCM2SUL minus observed differences in seasonal mean SLP (hPa) for 1956-1989.	199
Figure 5.18: Frequency histograms of seasonal observed and HadCM2SUL-simulated <i>F</i> values for the Agri, 1956-1989.	200
Figure 5.19: Frequency histograms of seasonal observed and HadCM2SUL-simulated <i>Z</i> values for the Agri, 1956-1989.	201
Figure 5.20: Scatter plots of seasonal observed and HadCM2SUL-simulated <i>F</i> and <i>Z</i> values for the Agri, 1956-1989.	202
Figure 5.21: Observed (solid line) and HadCM2SUL-simulated (dashed line) monthly frequencies of (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, Agri and Lesvos, 1956-1989.	203
Figure 5.22: Winter SLP (hPa) anomalies for (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	205
Figure 5.23: Spring SLP (hPa) anomalies for (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	207
Figure 5.24: Summer SLP (hPa) anomalies for (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	209
Figure 5.25: Autumn SLP (hPa) anomalies for (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	211

Figure 5.26: Winter mean SLP (hPa) for (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	213
Figure 5.27: Spring mean SLP (hPa) for (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	215
Figure 5.28: Summer mean SLP (hPa) for (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	217
Figure 5.29: Autumn mean SLP (hPa) for (a) the six non-directional circulation types and (b) the eight directional circulation types for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	219
Figure 5.30: Seasonal SLP (hPa) anomalies for the four combined circulation-type groups for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	221
Figure 5.31: Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) for the four combined circulation-type groups for the Guadalentin, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	223
Figure 5.32: Seasonal SLP (hPa) anomalies for the six non-directional circulation types for the Agri, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	225
Figure 5.33: Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) for the six non-directional circulation types for the Agri, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	229
Figure 5.34: Seasonal SLP (hPa) anomalies for the eight directional circulation types for the Agri, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	233
Figure 5.35: Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) for the eight directional circulation types for the Agri, calculated from observed data, 1956-1989.	237
Figure 5.36: The mean annual frequency (shown as the percentage of all days) of the 14 circulation types (solid bars); and their contribution to annual rainfall (shown as the percentage of total annual rainfall) for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	241
Figure 5.37: The mean seasonal frequency (shown as the percentage of all days) of the 14 circulation types (solid bars); and their contribution to seasonal rainfall (shown as the percentage of total seasonal rainfall) for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	242
Figure 5.38: Annual standardised ratios $PROP_{ct}/PROP_{tot}$ for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	244
Figure 5.39: Seasonal standardised ratios $PROP_{ct}/PROP_{tot}$ for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	245
Figure 5.40: Annual standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	247
Figure 5.41: Seasonal standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin.	248
Figure 5.42: The mean annual frequency (shown as the percentage of all days) of the 14 circulation types (solid bars); and their contribution to annual rainfall (shown as the percentage of total annual rainfall) for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	250
Figure 5.43: The mean seasonal frequency (shown as the percentage of all days) of the 14 circulation types (solid bars); and their contribution to seasonal rainfall (shown as the percentage of total seasonal rainfall) for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	251
Figure 5.44: Annual standardised ratios $PROP_{ct}/PROP_{tot}$ for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	253
Figure 5.45: Annual standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for 11 stations in the Agri Basin.	255
Figure 5.46: Annual standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for the SE (triangles) and W (squares) circulation types for 11 stations in the Agri Basin plotted against longitude ($^{\circ}$ E).	257
Figure 5.47: Annual standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for the SE (triangles) and W (squares) circulation types for six stations in the Guadalentin Basin plotted against longitude ($^{\circ}$ W).	257
Figure 5.48: Annual standardised ratios $PROP_{ct}/PROP_{tot}$ for Missanello for 1956-1988, 1956-1968, 1969-1978 and 1979-1988.	258
Figure 5.49: Annual standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for Missanello for 1956-1988, 1956-1968, 1969-1978 and 1979-1988.	258

Figure 5.50: Seasonal standardised ratios $PROP_{ct}/PROP_{tot}$ for Missanello for 1956-1988, 1956-1968, 1969-1978 and 1979-1988.	259
Figure 5.51: Seasonal standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for Missanello for 1956-1988, 1956-1968, 1969-1978 and 1979-1988.	261
Figure 5.52: Annual standardised ratios $PROP_{ct}/PROP_{tot}$ for Moliterno, Missanello and Nova Siri Scalo.	263
Figure 5.53: Annual standardised ratios $PREC_{ct}/PREC_{tot}$ for Moliterno, Missanello and Nova Siri Scalo.	263
Figure 5.54: Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) calculated from HadCM2SUL output, 1970-1979.	264
Figure 5.55: Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) calculated from HadCM2SUL output, 2030-2039.	265
Figure 5.56: Seasonal mean SLP (hPa) calculated from HadCM2SUL output, 2090-2099.	266
Figure 5.57: HadCM2SUL differences in seasonal mean SLP (hPa), 2030-2039 minus 1970-1979.	267
Figure 5.58: HadCM2SUL differences in seasonal mean SLP (hPa), 2090-2099 minus 1970-1979.	268
Figure 5.59: Mean monthly frequencies of the 14 circulation types in the Guadalentin Basin calculated from HadCM2SUL output for 1970-1979 (solid line), 2030-2039 (dashed line) and 2090-2099 (dotted line).	269
Figure 5.60: Mean monthly frequencies of the 14 circulation types in the Agri Basin calculated from HadCM2SUL output for 1970-1979 (solid line), 2030-2039 (dashed line) and 2090-2099 (dotted line).	270
Figure 5.61: Mean monthly frequencies of the 14 circulation types for Lesvos calculated from HadCM2SUL output for 1970-1979 (solid line), 2030-2039 (dashed line) and 2090-2099 (dotted line).	271
Figure 6.1: Observed and theoretical daily rainfall distribution functions for Alcantarilla in winter.	365
Figure 6.2: Observed and theoretical daily rainfall distribution functions for Alcantarilla in spring.	367
Figure 6.3: Observed and theoretical daily rainfall distribution functions for Alcantarilla in summer.	369
Figure 6.4: Observed and theoretical daily rainfall distribution functions for Alcantarilla in autumn.	371
Figure 6.5: Observed and theoretical daily rainfall distribution functions for Missanello in winter.	373
Figure 6.6: Observed and theoretical daily rainfall distribution functions for Missanello in spring.	375
Figure 6.7: Observed and theoretical daily rainfall distribution functions for Missanello in summer.	377
Figure 6.8: Observed and theoretical daily rainfall distribution functions for Missanello in autumn.	379
Figure 6.9: Frequency (the percentage of 1000 runs) distributions for the Alcantarilla scenario runs OBS1970, HAD1970, HAD2030 and HAD2090.	381
Figure 6.10: Frequency (the percentage of 1000 runs) distributions for the Missanello scenario runs OBS1970, HAD1970, HAD2030 and HAD2090.	385
Figure 7.1: SLP composites (hPa) for wet and dry E and SE-type autumn days in the Guadalentin.	429
Figure 7.2: SLP composites (hPa) for E and SE-type autumn days in the Guadalentin which are wet at 1-3, 10-12 and 20+ stations.	430
Figure 7.3: 500 hPa geopotential height composites for wet and dry E and SE-type autumn days in the Guadalentin.	432
Figure 7.4: SLP and 500 hPa geopotential height charts (hPa) for two selected E and SE-type high-rainfall events in the Guadalentin.	433
Figure 7.5: SLP charts (hPa) for eight selected autumn high-rainfall events in the Guadalentin.	434

Figure 7.6: 500 hPa geopotential height charts for eight selected autumn high-rainfall events in the Guadalentin.	436
Figure 7.7: SLP charts (hPa) for seven selected winter high-rainfall events in the Agri.	438
Figure 7.8: 500 hPa geopotential height charts for seven selected winter high-rainfall events in the Agri.	440
Figure 7.9: The number of stations in the Guadalentin where daily rainfall is greater than the 95 th percentile value plotted against the percentage of all days with extreme rainfall.	442
Figure 7.10: The number of stations in the Agri which are wet on a particular circulation-type day plotted against the percentage of all wet type days.	443
Figure 7.11: SLP charts (hPa) for eight selected summer high-rainfall events in the Guadalentin.	444
Figure 7.12: 500 hPa geopotential height charts for eight selected summer high-rainfall events in the Guadalentin.	446
Figure 7.13: Observed versus NCWG-simulated values for (a) the mean monthly number of rain days and (b) mean monthly rainfall (mm), for 22 stations in the Guadalentin.	448
Figure 7.14: Observed versus NCWG-simulated values for (a) the mean monthly number of rain days and (b) mean monthly rainfall (mm), for 15 stations in the Agri.	450
Figure 7.15: Observed versus NCWG-simulated joint probabilities (P00 = the joint probability of it being dry at both stations, P11 = the joint probability of it being wet at both stations) for 22 stations in the Guadalentin.	452
Figure 7.16: Observed versus NCWG-simulated joint probabilities (P00 = the joint probability of it being dry at both stations, P11 = the joint probability of it being wet at both stations) for 15 stations in the Agri.	453
Figure 7.17: Inter-station correlations plotted against inter-station distance (km) for rainfall amount at six stations in the Guadalentin.	454
Figure 7.18: Inter-station correlations plotted against inter-station distance (km) for rainfall amount at 11 stations in the Agri.	455

